1	FRANK KENNAMER (SBN 157844)	**E-filed 9/28/07**	
2	TROY SAURO (SBN 224097) AMY KEATING (SBN 244924)		
3	Three Embarcadero Center		
4	San Francisco, California 94111-4067		
4	Telephone: 415.393.2000 Facsimile: 415.393.2286		
5	14033111101 110107012200		
	Attorneys for WAMM Plaintiffs		
6	GERALD UELMEN (SBN 39909)		
7	Santa Clara University School of Law		
0	500 El Camino Real		
8	Santa Clara, California 95053 Telephone: 408.554.5729		
9	Facsimile: 408.554.4426		
10	Attorney for County of Santa Cruz		
11	and WAMM Plaintiffs		
12	Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14			
15	SAN JOSE DI	IVISION	
13			
16	COUNTY OF CANTA CRUZ CALIFORNIA.	Case No.: 03-CV-1802 JF	
17	COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA; CITY OF SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA;	Case No.: 03-C v-1802 JF	
	VALERIE CORRAL; ELADIO V. ACOSTA;	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR	
18	JENNIFER LEE HENTZ; HAROLD F. MARGOLIN; LEVI CASTRO; DOROTHY	ORDER CHANGING TIME AND	
19	GIBBS; JAMES DANIEL BAEHR; MICHAEL	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
	CHESLOSKY and WO/MEN'S ALLIANCE		
20	FOR MEDICAL MARIJUANA,	No Hearing Requested	
21	Plaintiffs,		
22	v.		
23	ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General		
	of the United States; KAREN P. TANDY,		
24	Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration; JOHN P. WALTERS, Director of		
25	the Office of National Drug Control Policy; and		
	30 UNKNOWN DRUG ENFORCEMENT		
	A DA MANIGED A ELONI A CENTER		
26	ADMINISTRATION AGENTS,		
26 27	ADMINISTRATION AGENTS, Defendants.		

1	Plaintiffs and Defendants, through their counsel of record, stipulate as follows:	
2	On July 18, 2006, this Court issued an Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part	
3	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Fifth Claim for Relief, which required Plaintiffs to	
4	amend the Fifth Claim. (Docket No. 153). The Order further provided that the time in which to	
5	amend would begin to run upon a ruling on Defendants' motion to dismiss the remaining claims.	
6	On August 30, 2007, this Court issued an Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss,	
7	with Leave to Amend in Part. (Docket No. 170). Currently, pursuant to that Order, Plaintiffs	
8	must file a Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") by October 1, 2007.	
9	Plaintiffs hereby request that the Court enter an Order extending Plaintiffs' time to file	
10	the SAC to November 28, 2007, due to Plaintiffs' need to gather additional facts in support of	
11	the SAC and time sensitive commitments in other cases, including Mr. Boyd being out of the	
12	office for several weeks in September and October.	
13	Defendants do not oppose Plaintiffs' request.	
14		
15	DATED: September 26, 2007	By BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
16		
17		By: /s/ Frank Kennamer
18		Frank Kennamer Attorneys for Plaintiffs
19	DATED: September 26, 2007	By UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
20	-	
21		By: /s/ Mark T. Quinlivan Mark T. Quinlivan
22		Attorneys for Defendants
23		
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	DATED: <u>September 28</u> , 2007	
26	- -	Hon. Jerem v logel
27		U.S. District Court Judge
28		•